

AllianceBernstein
Variable Products Series Fund, Inc.

Semi-Annual Report

June 30, 2009

➤ AllianceBernstein International Value Portfolio

Investment Products Offered

- ▶ **Are Not FDIC Insured**
- ▶ **May Lose Value**
- ▶ **Are Not Bank Guaranteed**

You may obtain a description of the Fund's proxy voting policies and procedures, and information regarding how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge. Simply visit AllianceBernstein's web site at www.alliancebernstein.com or go to the Securities and Exchange Commission's (the "Commission") web site at www.sec.gov, or call AllianceBernstein at (800) 227-4618.

The Fund files its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Commission for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Fund's Forms N-Q are available on the Commission's web site at www.sec.gov. The Fund's Forms N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC; information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling (800) SEC-0330.

AllianceBernstein® and the AB Logo are registered trademarks and service marks used by permission of the owner, AllianceBernstein L.P.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
FUND EXPENSES (unaudited)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

As a shareholder of the Fund, you incur two types of costs: (1) transaction costs, including sales charges (loads) on purchase payments, contingent deferred sales charges on redemptions and (2) ongoing costs, including management fees; distribution (12b-1) fees; and other Fund expenses. This example is intended to help you understand your ongoing costs (in dollars) of investing in the Fund and to compare these costs with the ongoing costs of investing in other mutual funds.

The Example is based on an investment of \$1,000 invested at the beginning of the period and held for the entire period as indicated below.

Actual Expenses

The first line of each class' table below provides information about actual account values and actual expenses. You may use the information in this line, together with the amount you invested, to estimate the expenses that you paid over the period. Simply divide your account value by \$1,000 (for example, an \$8,600 account value divided by \$1,000 = 8.6), then multiply the result by the number in the first line under the heading entitled "Expenses Paid During Period" to estimate the expenses you paid on your account during this period. The estimate of expenses does not include fees or other expenses of any variable insurance product. If such expenses were included, the estimate of expenses you paid during the period would be higher and your ending account value would be lower.

Hypothetical Example for Comparison Purposes

The second line of each class' table below provides information about hypothetical account values and hypothetical expenses based on the Fund's actual expense ratio and an assumed annual rate of return of 5% before expenses, which is not the Fund's actual return. The hypothetical account values and expenses may not be used to estimate the actual ending account balance or expenses you paid for the period. You may use this information to compare the ongoing costs of investing in the Fund and other funds by comparing this 5% hypothetical example with the 5% hypothetical examples that appear in the shareholder reports of other funds. The estimate of expenses does not include fees or other expenses of any variable insurance product. If such expenses were included, the estimate of expenses you paid during the period would be higher and your ending account value would be lower.

Please note that the expenses shown in the table are meant to highlight your ongoing costs only and do not reflect any transactional costs, such as sales charges (loads), or contingent deferred sales charges on redemptions. Therefore, the second line of each class' table is useful in comparing ongoing costs only, and will not help you determine the relative total costs of owning different funds. In addition, if these transactional costs were included, your costs would have been higher.

<u>International Value Portfolio</u>	<u>Beginning Account Value January 1, 2009</u>	<u>Ending Account Value June 30, 2009</u>	<u>Expenses Paid During Period*</u>	<u>Annualized Expense Ratio*</u>
Class A				
Actual	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,077.83	\$ 4.28	0.83%
Hypothetical (5% return before expenses)	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,020.68	\$ 4.16	0.83%
Class B				
Actual	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,075.93	\$ 5.56	1.08%
Hypothetical (5% return before expenses)	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,019.44	\$ 5.41	1.08%

* Expenses are equal to each classes' annualized expense ratios, multiplied by the average account value over the period, multiplied by 181/365 (to reflect the one-half year period).

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
TEN LARGEST HOLDINGS*

June 30, 2009 (unaudited)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

COMPANY	U.S. \$ VALUE	PERCENT OF NET ASSETS
BP PLC	\$ 47,395,825	2.3%
Royal Dutch Shell PLC (Euronext Amsterdam)— Class A	44,449,114	2.1
Vodafone Group PLC	43,346,019	2.1
Telefonica SA	34,323,404	1.7
Nokia OYJ	31,860,524	1.5
GlaxoSmithKline PLC	31,758,609	1.5
Sanofi-Aventis	30,757,881	1.5
Total SA	28,981,138	1.4
E.ON AG	28,405,484	1.4
BNP Paribas SA	27,853,627	1.3
	<u>\$ 349,131,625</u>	<u>16.8%</u>

SECTOR DIVERSIFICATION

June 30, 2009 (unaudited)

SECTOR	U.S. \$ VALUE	PERCENT OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS
Financials (Common and Rights)	\$ 416,854,694	26.1%
Telecommunications Services	207,748,338	13.0
Energy	204,256,014	12.8
Health Care	139,550,851	8.7
Consumer Discretionary	125,505,763	7.9
Information Technology	124,047,084	7.8
Utilities	105,475,605	6.6
Materials	100,689,337	6.3
Consumer Staples	91,424,417	5.7
Industrials	81,858,889	5.1
Total Investments	<u>\$ 1,597,410,992</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

* Long-term investments.

Please note: The sector classifications presented herein are based on the Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) which was developed by Morgan Stanley Capital International and Standard and Poor's. The fund components are divided into sector, industry group, and industry sub-indices as classified by the GICS for each of the market capitalization indices in the Broad Market. These sector classifications are broadly defined. The "Portfolio of Investments" section of the report reflects more specific industry information and is consistent with the investment restrictions discussed in the fund's prospectus.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
COUNTRY DIVERSIFICATION

June 30, 2009 (unaudited)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

COUNTRY	U.S. \$ VALUE	PERCENT OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS
United Kingdom	\$ 248,509,847	15.6%
Germany	227,856,469	14.3
Japan	226,513,250	14.2
France	198,242,459	12.4
Netherlands	108,293,111	6.8
Australia	99,064,699	6.2
Italy	75,104,269	4.7
Sweden	54,597,612	3.4
South Korea	50,451,636	3.2
Canada	47,987,619	3.0
Spain	34,323,404	2.1
Switzerland	34,263,991	2.1
Finland	31,860,524	2.0
Other*	160,342,102	10.0
Total Investments	\$ 1,597,410,992	100.0%

* "Other" country weightings represents 1.8% or less in the following countries: Belgium, Brazil, Czech Republic, Hong Kong, Israel, New Zealand, Norway, Russia, South Africa and Taiwan.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

June 30, 2009 (unaudited)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

Company	Shares	U.S. \$ Value	Company	Shares	U.S. \$ Value
COMMON STOCKS—76.9%			TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES—10.0%		
FINANCIALS—20.1%			DIVERSIFIED		
CAPITAL MARKETS—1.6%			TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES—7.3%		
Credit Suisse Group AG	189,700	\$ 8,691,294	Bezeq Israeli		
Deutsche Bank AG	387,200	23,538,258	Telecommunication Corp.		
		<u>32,229,552</u>	Ltd.	6,039,100	\$ 11,147,363
COMMERCIAL BANKS—13.1%			Deutsche Telekom AG	1,369,200	16,187,460
ABSA Group Ltd.	417,900	5,963,259	France Telecom SA	1,090,800	24,819,714
Australia & New Zealand			Nippon Telegraph & Telephone		
Banking Group Ltd.	2,042,500	27,068,201	Corp.	571,800	23,286,793
Banco do Brasil SA	1,353,100	14,604,779	Telecom Corp. of New Zealand		
Barclays PLC	3,442,000	15,995,127	Ltd.	3,093,859	5,427,880
BNP Paribas SA	427,120	27,853,627	Telecom Italia SpA (ordinary		
Commonwealth Bank of			shares)	11,258,700	15,610,033
Australia	292,700	9,174,947	Telecom Italia SpA (savings		
Credit Agricole SA	1,516,491	19,014,178	shares)	10,781,700	10,621,222
Hana Financial Group, Inc.	374,500	7,978,276	Telefonica SA	1,511,400	34,323,404
Intesa Sanpaolo SpA (a)	6,990,400	22,589,672	TELUS Corp.—Class A	387,300	9,989,253
Itau Unibanco Holding SA					<u>151,413,122</u>
(ADR)	507,089	8,027,219	WIRELESS		
KB Financial Group, Inc.(a)	440,400	14,682,431	TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES—2.7%		
Lloyds Banking Group PLC	10,984,185	12,662,452	KDDI Corp.	2,448	12,989,197
National Australia Bank Ltd.	826,500	14,890,402	Vodafone Group PLC	22,286,575	43,346,019
Nordea Bank AB	1,346,560	10,701,616			<u>56,335,216</u>
Societe Generale—Class A	447,888	24,584,936			<u>207,748,338</u>
Standard Bank Group Ltd.	1,457,000	16,761,685	ENERGY—9.8%		
Sumitomo Mitsui Financial			OIL, GAS & CONSUMABLE		
Group, Inc.	455,200	18,419,807	FUELS—9.8%		
		<u>270,972,614</u>	BP PLC	5,998,100	47,395,825
CONSUMER FINANCE—0.0%			ENI SpA	1,108,200	26,283,343
ORIX Corp.	16,500	982,083	LUKOIL (OTC US) (Sponsored		
DIVERSIFIED FINANCIAL SERVICES—0.9%			ADR)	458,350	20,390,153
ING Group	1,897,771	19,226,457	Petro-Canada	416,700	16,092,648
INSURANCE—3.6%			Royal Dutch Shell PLC		
Allianz SE	263,900	24,342,842	(Euronext Amsterdam)—		
Aviva PLC	2,515,285	14,161,994	Class A	1,773,900	44,449,114
Fairfax Financial Holdings			StatoilHydro ASA	1,046,100	20,663,793
Ltd.	19,100	4,794,910	Total SA	534,700	28,981,138
Muenchener					<u>204,256,014</u>
Rueckversicherungs AG			HEALTH CARE—6.7%		
(MunichRe)	188,000	25,399,865	HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS		
Sun Life Financial, Inc.	231,000	6,235,997	& SERVICES—0.2%		
		<u>74,935,608</u>	Fresenius Medical Care AG &		
REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT & DEVELOPMENT—0.9%			Co. KGaA	109,000	4,898,076
Lend Lease Corp. Ltd.	940,400	5,292,124	PHARMACEUTICALS—6.5%		
Mitsui Fudosan Co., Ltd.	762,000	13,216,253	AstraZeneca PLC	516,600	22,778,152
		<u>18,508,377</u>	Bayer AG	442,600	23,785,436
		<u>416,854,691</u>	GlaxoSmithKline PLC	1,798,000	31,758,609
			Novartis AG	628,210	25,572,697
			Sanofi-Aventis	520,527	30,757,881
					<u>134,652,775</u>
					<u>139,550,851</u>

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

Company	Shares	U.S. \$ Value	Company	Shares	U.S. \$ Value
CONSUMER			SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT-1.3%		
DISCRETIONARY-6.0%			SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT-1.3%		
AUTO COMPONENTS-0.2%			Samsung Electronics (Preference Shares)		
Magna International, Inc.- Class A	114,300	\$ 4,849,508	Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.	33,400	\$ 10,184,086
AUTOMOBILES-1.7%				38,080	17,606,843
Nissan Motor Co. Ltd.	3,160,100	19,178,303			27,790,929
Renault SA(a)	457,300	16,895,655			124,047,084
		<u>36,073,958</u>	UTILITIES-5.1%		
HOTELS, RESTAURANTS & LEISURE-1.3%			ELECTRIC UTILITIES-2.8%		
TABCORP Holdings Ltd.	1,518,300	8,738,005	CEZ	180,400	8,063,235
Thomas Cook Group PLC	2,017,000	6,834,509	E.ON AG	800,200	28,405,484
TUI Travel PLC	2,775,200	10,608,653	Electricite de France	108,800	5,312,510
		<u>26,181,167</u>	The Tokyo Electric Power Co., Inc.	651,000	16,738,061
HOUSEHOLD					<u>58,519,290</u>
DURABLES-1.5%			INDEPENDENT POWER PRODUCERS & ENERGY TRADERS-0.3%		
Electrolux AB Series B(a)	385,900	5,398,600	Drax Group PLC	927,500	6,713,824
Sharp Corp.	1,114,000	11,556,908	MULTI-UTILITIES-2.0%		
Sony Corp.	568,200	14,823,778	Centrica PLC	5,507,800	20,252,354
		<u>31,779,286</u>	RWE AG	253,490	19,990,137
LEISURE EQUIPMENT & PRODUCTS-0.4%					<u>40,242,491</u>
Namco Bandai Holdings, Inc.	724,000	7,940,632			<u>105,475,605</u>
MEDIA-0.7%			MATERIALS-4.9%		
Lagardere SCA	408,000	13,602,416	CHEMICALS-1.9%		
TEXTILES, APPAREL & LUXURY GOODS-0.2%			BASF SE	576,000	22,948,875
Yue Yuen Industrial Holdings Ltd.	2,159,000	5,078,796	DIC Corp.	2,734,000	4,270,899
		<u>125,505,763</u>	Mitsubishi Chemical Holdings Corp.	2,753,500	11,646,613
INFORMATION					<u>38,866,387</u>
TECHNOLOGY-6.0%			CONSTRUCTION		
COMMUNICATIONS			MATERIALS-0.3%		
EQUIPMENT-2.2%			Fletcher Building Ltd.	1,266,212	5,371,448
Nokia OYJ	2,175,200	31,860,524	CONTAINERS & PACKAGING-0.3%		
Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson-Class B	1,349,000	13,289,581	Amcor Ltd.	1,367,139	5,497,323
		<u>45,150,105</u>	METALS & MINING-1.6%		
COMPUTERS & PERIPHERALS-2.0%			ArcelorMittal (Euronext Amsterdam)	457,824	15,150,852
Compal Electronics, Inc. (GDR)(b)	1,585,709	6,440,040	BHP Billiton Ltd.	292,400	8,010,561
Fujitsu Ltd.	3,501,000	19,018,247	MMC Norilsk Nickel (ADR)(a)	648,804	5,904,116
Toshiba Corp.	4,306,000	15,600,402	Yamato Kogyo Co. Ltd.	172,700	5,084,242
		<u>41,058,689</u>			<u>34,149,771</u>
ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT, INSTRUMENTS & COMPONENTS-0.5%			PAPER & FOREST PRODUCTS-0.8%		
AU Optronics Corp.	7,733,000	7,464,818	Svenska Cellulosa AB-Class B ..	1,596,100	16,804,408
Hitachi High-Technologies Corp.	152,000	2,582,543			<u>100,689,337</u>
		<u>10,047,361</u>			

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

(continued)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

Company	Shares	U.S. \$ Value	Company	Shares	U.S. \$ Value
CONSUMER STAPLES-4.4%			PROFESSIONAL SERVICES-0.5%		
FOOD & STAPLES			ROAD & RAIL-0.4%		
RETAILING-3.6%			DISTRIBUTORS-0.3%		
Aeon Co. Ltd.	1,408,700	\$ 13,899,594	Randstad Holding NV(a)	361,300	\$ 10,040,675
Casino Guichard Perrachon SA	94,800	6,420,403	East Japan Railway Co.	141,000	8,489,028
Delhaize Group	251,500	17,706,699	Mitsui & Co. Ltd.	573,000	6,789,865
Koninklijke Ahold NV	1,685,040	19,426,013	TRANSPORTATION		
Metro AG	376,100	17,969,378	INFRASTRUCTURE-0.3%		
		<u>75,422,087</u>	Macquarie Infrastructure Group		
FOOD PRODUCTS-0.8%				4,862,100	5,589,319
Associated British Foods PLC	1,270,200	16,002,330	<u>81,858,889</u>		
		<u>91,424,417</u>	Total Common Stocks		
INDUSTRIALS-3.9%			(cost \$1,796,256,846)		
AEROSPACE & DEFENSE-0.3%			<u>1,597,410,989</u>		
Bombardier, Inc.-Class B	2,031,400	6,025,302	RIGHTS-0.0%		
AIR FREIGHT & LOGISTICS-0.7%			FINANCIALS-0.0%		
Deutsche Post AG	1,119,990	14,623,707	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIAL SERVICES-0.0%		
AIRLINES-0.7%			Fortis(a)		
Deutsche Lufthansa AG	459,200	5,766,951	(cost \$0)		
Qantas Airways Ltd.	5,824,974	9,432,370		2,209,932	3
		<u>15,199,321</u>	TOTAL		
INDUSTRIAL CONGLOMERATES-0.3%			INVESTMENTS-76.9%		
Bidvest Group Ltd.	533,800	6,698,264	(cost \$1,796,256,846)		
MACHINERY-0.4%			Other assets less		
Volvo AB-Class B	1,356,800	8,403,408	liabilities-23.1%		
		<u>8,403,408</u>	<u>478,609,682</u>		
			NET ASSETS-100.0%		
			<u>\$ 2,076,020,674</u>		

FUTURES CONTRACTS (see Note D)

Type	Number of Contracts	Expiration Month	Original Value	Value at June 30, 2009	Unrealized Appreciation/ (Depreciation)
Purchased Contracts					
DJ EURO STOXX 50	7,214	September 2009	\$ 244,135,089	\$ 242,682,996	\$ (1,452,093)
S&P/TSX 60 IX FUT	79	September 2009	8,547,395	8,518,403	(28,992)
TOPIX INDX FUTR	1,175	September 2009	112,689,178	112,761,457	72,279
					<u>\$ (1,408,806)</u>

FORWARD CURRENCY EXCHANGE CONTRACTS (see Note D)

	Contract Amount (000)	U.S. \$ Value on Origination Date	U.S. \$ Value at June 30, 2009	Unrealized Appreciation/ (Depreciation)
Buy Contracts:				
Australian Dollar settling 7/15/09	78,168	\$ 55,202,242	\$ 62,922,528	\$ 7,720,286
Australian Dollar settling 7/15/09	136,083	104,566,177	109,542,092	4,975,915
Australian Dollar settling 7/15/09	13,467	10,729,159	10,840,468	111,309
Australian Dollar settling 10/15/09	15,658	12,397,222	12,518,237	121,015
Australian Dollar settling 10/15/09	18,541	14,460,126	14,823,133	363,007
British Pound settling 7/15/09	90,414	147,302,489	148,747,768	1,445,279
British Pound settling 7/15/09	9,271	15,325,890	15,252,511	(73,379)
Canadian Dollar settling 7/15/09	7,117	6,297,116	6,119,117	(177,999)
Canadian Dollar settling 7/15/09	26,354	22,677,911	22,658,874	(19,037)
Euro settling 7/15/09	33,737	46,718,998	47,328,831	609,833
Japanese Yen settling 7/15/09	1,104,466	11,161,860	11,466,629	304,769
Japanese Yen settling 7/15/09	16,947,465	173,012,761	175,949,548	2,936,787
Japanese Yen settling 10/15/09	1,034,009	10,748,087	10,747,477	(610)
Norwegian Krone settling 7/15/09	74,843	11,154,781	11,635,209	480,428
Norwegian Krone settling 7/15/09	434,320	64,479,349	67,520,062	3,040,713
Norwegian Krone settling 7/15/09	54,868	8,417,274	8,529,865	112,591
Norwegian Krone settling 10/15/09	577,926	89,825,145	89,640,014	(185,131)
Swedish Krona settling 7/15/09	39,862	5,074,051	5,166,849	92,798
Swedish Krona settling 7/15/09	447,203	59,059,310	57,965,743	(1,093,567)
Swedish Krona settling 10/15/09	102,366	13,207,834	13,267,151	59,317
Swiss Franc settling 7/15/09	14,625	13,273,613	13,462,289	188,676
Sale Contracts:				
Australian Dollar settling 7/15/09	48,465	39,187,830	39,012,643	175,187
British Pound settling 7/15/09	6,426	9,578,917	10,571,960	(993,043)
British Pound settling 7/15/09	45,835	67,026,354	75,407,060	(8,380,706)
British Pound settling 7/15/09	57,895	84,022,435	95,247,993	(11,225,558)
British Pound settling 7/15/09	20,183	30,763,332	33,204,771	(2,441,439)
Canadian Dollar settling 7/15/09	85,223	68,995,305	73,273,781	(4,278,476)
Euro settling 7/15/09	33,737	46,401,870	47,328,831	(926,961)
Japanese Yen settling 7/15/09	2,751,618	29,066,899	28,567,455	499,444
Japanese Yen settling 7/15/09	15,300,313	159,744,341	158,848,722	895,619
Japanese Yen settling 10/15/09	8,969,979	93,739,983	93,233,854	506,129
Norwegian Krone settling 7/15/09	564,031	87,477,860	87,685,135	(207,275)
Norwegian Krone settling 10/15/09	135,458	20,968,406	21,010,401	(41,995)
Swedish Krona settling 7/15/09	362,792	43,075,681	47,024,523	(3,948,842)
Swedish Krona settling 7/15/09	64,598	8,017,127	8,373,090	(355,963)
Swedish Krona settling 7/15/09	59,675	7,601,524	7,734,979	(133,455)
Swedish Krona settling 10/15/09	486,336	61,347,966	63,031,605	(1,683,639)
Swiss Franc settling 7/15/09	14,625	12,609,389	13,462,289	(852,900)
Swiss Franc settling 10/15/09	13,607	12,531,774	12,540,845	(9,071)

(a) Non-income producing security.

(b) Security is exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. This security is considered liquid and may be resold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At June 30, 2009, the market value of this security amounted to \$6,440,040 or 0.3% of net assets.

Glossary:

ADR—American Depositary Receipt

GDR—Global Depositary Receipt

See notes to financial statements.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

June 30, 2009 (unaudited)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

ASSETS

Investments in securities, at value (cost \$1,796,256,846)	\$ 1,597,410,992
Cash	63,345,355(a)
Foreign currencies, at value (cost \$27,732,093)	27,731,810
Unrealized appreciation of forward currency exchange contracts	24,639,102
Receivable for investment securities sold and foreign currency contracts	397,511,305
Dividends and interest receivable	9,745,782
Receivable for capital stock sold	382,353
Total assets	<u>2,120,766,699</u>

LIABILITIES

Unrealized depreciation of forward currency exchange contracts	37,029,046
Payable for variation margin on futures contracts	1,505,110
Payable for capital stock redeemed	4,021,487
Advisory fee payable	1,314,167
Distribution fee payable	404,599
Administrative fee payable	27,840
Transfer Agent fee payable	124
Accrued expenses	443,652
Total liabilities	<u>44,746,025</u>

NET ASSETS \$ 2,076,020,674

COMPOSITION OF NET ASSETS

Capital stock, at par	\$ 176,380
Additional paid-in capital	3,320,190,582
Undistributed net investment income	33,391,235
Accumulated net realized loss on investment and foreign currency transactions	(1,064,636,518)
Net unrealized depreciation of investments and foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities	<u>(213,101,005)</u>
	<u>\$ 2,076,020,674</u>

Net Asset Value Per Share—1 billion shares of capital stock authorized, \$.001 par value

Class	Net Assets	Shares Outstanding	Net Asset Value
A	\$ 152,367,164	12,797,363	\$ 11.91
B	\$ 1,923,653,510	163,582,268	\$ 11.76

(a) An amount of U.S. \$29,995,925 has been segregated to collateralize margin requirements for the open futures contracts outstanding as of June 30, 2009.

See notes to financial statements.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

INVESTMENT INCOME

Dividends (net of foreign taxes withheld of \$5,674,805)	\$ 47,467,465
Interest	1,591
Total investment income	<u>47,469,056</u>

EXPENSES

Advisory fee (see Note B)	6,828,214
Distribution fee—Class B	2,096,349
Transfer agency—Class A	256
Transfer agency—Class B	2,984
Printing	303,300
Custodian	289,042
Administrative	45,840
Audit	24,108
Legal	24,088
Directors' fees	1,250
Miscellaneous	35,280
Total expenses	<u>9,650,711</u>
Net investment income	<u>37,818,345</u>

REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON INVESTMENT AND FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSACTIONS

Net realized gain (loss) on:	
Investment transactions	(788,756,269)
Futures	2,305,131
Foreign currency transactions	(23,290,401)
Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of:	
Investments	958,011,096
Futures	(1,808,191)
Foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities	<u>(16,783,921)</u>
Net gain on investment and foreign currency transactions	<u>129,677,445</u>

NET INCREASE IN NET ASSETS FROM OPERATIONS \$ 167,495,790

See notes to financial statements.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS *AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund*

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	Year Ended December 31, 2008
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS FROM OPERATIONS		
Net investment income	\$ 37,818,345	\$ 71,102,116
Net realized loss on investment and foreign currency transactions	(809,741,539)	(345,490,659)
Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of investments	<u>939,418,984</u>	<u>(1,519,896,164)</u>
Net increase (decrease) in net assets from operations	167,495,790	(1,794,284,707)
DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS FROM		
Net investment income		
Class A	–0–	(2,216,163)
Class B	–0–	(20,468,603)
Net realized gain on investment and foreign currency transactions		
Class A	–0–	(12,223,065)
Class B	–0–	(146,438,238)
CAPITAL STOCK TRANSACTIONS		
Net increase	<u>93,935,137</u>	<u>752,222,152</u>
Total increase (decrease)	261,430,927	(1,223,408,624)
NET ASSETS		
Beginning of period	<u>1,814,589,747</u>	<u>3,037,998,371</u>
End of period (including undistributed net investment income and accumulated net investment loss of \$33,391,235 and \$(4,427,110), respectively)	<u>\$2,076,020,674</u>	<u>\$ 1,814,589,747</u>

See notes to financial statements.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2009 (unaudited)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

NOTE A: Significant Accounting Policies

The AllianceBernstein International Value Portfolio (the “Portfolio”) is a series of AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund, Inc. (the “Fund”). The Portfolio’s investment objective is to seek long-term growth of capital. The Portfolio is diversified as defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940. The Fund was incorporated in the State of Maryland on November 17, 1987, as an open-end series investment company. The Fund offers fifteen separately managed pools of assets which have differing investment objectives and policies. The Portfolio offers Class A and Class B shares. Both classes of shares have identical voting, dividend, liquidating and other rights, except that Class B shares bear a distribution expense and have exclusive voting rights with respect to the Class B distribution plan.

The Portfolio offers and sells its shares only to separate accounts of certain life insurance companies for the purpose of funding variable annuity contracts and variable life insurance policies. Sales are made without a sales charge at the Portfolio’s net asset value per share.

The financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which require management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Portfolio.

1. Security Valuation

Portfolio securities are valued at their current market value determined on the basis of market quotations or, if market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable, at “fair value” as determined in accordance with procedures established by and under the general supervision of the Fund’s Board of Directors.

In general, the market value of securities which are readily available and deemed reliable are determined as follows. Securities listed on a national securities exchange (other than securities listed on The NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. (“NASDAQ”)) or on a foreign securities exchange are valued at the last sale price at the close of the exchange or foreign securities exchange. If there has been no sale on such day, the securities are valued at the mean of the closing bid and asked prices on such day. Securities listed on more than one exchange are valued by reference to the principal exchange on which the securities are traded; securities listed only on NASDAQ are valued in accordance with the NASDAQ Official Closing Price; listed put or call options are valued at the last sale price. If there has been no sale on that day, such securities will be valued at the closing bid prices on that day; open futures contracts and options thereon are valued using the closing settlement price or, in the absence of such a price, the most recent quoted bid price. If there are no quotations available for the day of valuation, the last available closing settlement price is used; securities traded in the over-the-counter market (“OTC”) are valued at the mean of the current bid and asked prices as reported by the National Quotation Bureau or other comparable sources; U.S. government securities and other debt instruments having 60 days or less remaining until maturity are valued at amortized cost if their original maturity was 60 days or less; or by amortizing their fair value as of the 61st day prior to maturity if their original term to maturity exceeded 60 days; fixed-income securities, including mortgage backed and asset backed securities, may be valued on the basis of prices provided by a pricing service or at a price obtained from one or more of the major broker/dealers. In cases where broker/dealer quotes are obtained, AllianceBernstein L.P. (the “Adviser”) may establish procedures whereby changes in market yields or spreads are used to adjust, on a daily basis, a recently obtained quoted price on a security; and OTC and other derivatives are valued on the basis of a quoted bid price or spread from a major broker/dealer in such security.

Securities for which market quotations are not readily available (including restricted securities) or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value. Factors considered in making this determination may include, but are not limited to, information obtained by contacting the issuer, analysts, analysis of the issuer’s financial statements or other available documents. In addition, the Portfolio may use fair value pricing for securities primarily traded in non-U.S. markets because, most foreign markets close well before the Portfolio values its securities at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time (see Note A.2).

2. Fair Value Measurements

The Portfolio adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (“FAS 157”), effective January 1, 2008. In accordance with FAS 157, fair value is defined as the price that the Portfolio would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. FAS 157 also establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability. Inputs may be observable or unobservable and refer broadly to the assumptions that market participants would use

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

in pricing the asset or liability. Observable inputs reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Portfolio. Unobservable inputs reflect the Portfolio's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. Each investment is assigned a level based upon the observability of the inputs which are significant to the overall valuation. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized below.

- Level 1—quoted prices in active markets for identical investments
- Level 2—other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)
- Level 3—significant unobservable inputs (including the Portfolio's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The following table summarizes the valuation of the Portfolio's investments by the above fair value hierarchy levels as of June 30, 2009:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Investments in Securities				
Common Stocks				
Financials	\$ 33,662,906	\$ 383,191,785	\$ -0-	\$ 416,854,691
Telecommunication Services	9,989,253	197,759,085	-0-	207,748,338
Energy	16,092,648	188,163,366	-0-	204,256,014
Health Care	-0-	139,550,851	-0-	139,550,851
Consumer Discretionary	4,849,508	120,656,255	-0-	125,505,763
Information Technology	-0-	124,047,084	-0-	124,047,084
Utilities	-0-	105,475,605	-0-	105,475,605
Materials	-0-	100,689,337	-0-	100,689,337
Consumer Staples	-0-	91,424,417	-0-	91,424,417
Industrials	-0-	81,858,889	-0-	81,858,889
Rights	-0-	-0-	3	3
	64,594,315	1,532,816,674+	3	1,597,410,992
Other Financial Instruments*	(1,408,806)	(12,389,944)	-0-	(13,798,750)
Total	\$ 63,185,509	\$1,520,426,730	\$ 3	\$1,583,612,242

* Other financial instruments are derivative instruments, such as futures, forwards and swap contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

+ The earlier close of the foreign markets gives rise to the possibility that significant events, including broad market moves, may have occurred between the close of the foreign markets and the time at which the Portfolio values its securities which may materially affect the value of securities trading in such markets. To account for this, the Portfolio may frequently value many of its foreign equity securities using fair value prices based on third party vendor modeling tools to the extent available. Accordingly, a significant portion of the Portfolio's investments are categorized as Level 2 investments.

Following is a reconciliation of investments in which significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) were used in determining fair value:

	Investments in Securities	Other Financial Instruments
Balance as of 12/31/08	\$ 3	\$ -0-
Accrued discounts /premiums	-0-	-0-
Realized gain (loss)	-0-	-0-*
Change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation	-0-	-0-
Net purchases (sales)	-0-	-0-
Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3	-0-	-0-
Balance as of 6/30/09	\$ 3	\$ -0-
Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation from investments still held as of 6/30/09	\$ -0-	\$ -0-

* The unrealized appreciation/depreciation is included in net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of investments in the accompanying statement of operations.

3. Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies and commitments under forward currency exchange contracts are translated into U.S. dollars at the mean of the quoted bid and asked prices of such currencies against the U.S. dollar. Purchases and sales of portfolio securities are translated into U.S. dollars at the rates of exchange prevailing when such securities were acquired or sold. Income and expenses are translated into U.S. dollars at rates of exchange prevailing when accrued.

Net realized gain or loss on foreign currency transactions represents foreign exchange gains and losses from sales and maturities of foreign fixed income investments, foreign currency exchange contracts, holding of foreign currencies, currency gains or losses realized between the trade and settlement dates on foreign investment transactions, and the difference between the amounts of dividends, interest and foreign withholding taxes recorded on the Portfolio's books and the U.S. dollar equivalent amounts actually received or paid. Net unrealized currency gains and losses from valuing foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities at period end exchange rates are reflected as a component of net unrealized appreciation or depreciation of investments and foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities.

4. Taxes

It is the policy of the Portfolio to meet the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute all of its investment company taxable income and net realized gains, if any, to shareholders. Therefore, no provisions for federal income or excise taxes are required. The Portfolio may be subject to taxes imposed by countries in which it invests. Such taxes are generally based on income and/or capital gains earned or repatriated. Taxes are accrued and applied to net investment income, net realized gains and net unrealized appreciation/depreciation as such income and/or gains are earned.

In accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainties in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48"), management has analyzed the Portfolio's tax positions taken on federal and state income tax returns for all open tax years (the current and the prior three tax years) and has concluded that no provision for income tax is required in the Portfolio's financial statements.

5. Investment Income and Investment Transactions

Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date or as soon as the Portfolio is informed of the dividend. Interest income is accrued daily. Investment transactions are accounted for on the date securities are purchased or sold. Investment gains and losses are determined on the identified cost basis. The Portfolio amortizes premiums and accretes discounts as adjustments to interest income.

6. Class Allocations

All income earned and expenses incurred by the Portfolio are borne on a pro-rata basis by each outstanding class of shares, based on the proportionate interest in the Portfolio represented by the net assets of such class, except for class specific expenses which are allocated to the respective class. Expenses of the Fund are charged to each Portfolio in proportion to net assets. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are allocated among the various share classes based on their respective net assets.

7. Dividends and Distributions

Dividends and distributions to shareholders, if any, are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Income dividends and capital gains distributions are determined in accordance with federal tax regulations and may differ from those determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. To the extent these differences are permanent, such amounts are reclassified within the capital accounts based on their federal tax basis treatment; temporary differences do not require such reclassification.

8. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

During the period ended in June 30, 2009, the Fund adopted FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161 ("FAS 161"), "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." FAS 161 requires enhanced disclosure about an entity's derivative and hedging activities including qualitative disclosures about the objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements (see Note D.1).

In accordance with the provision set forth in FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 165 "Subsequent Events", adopted by the Portfolio as of June 30, 2009, management has evaluated the possibility of subsequent events exist-

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

ing in the Portfolio's financial statements issued on August 14, 2009. Management has determined that there are no material events that would require disclosure in the Portfolio's financial statements through this date.

NOTE B: Advisory Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

Under the terms of the investment advisory agreement, the Portfolio pays the Adviser an advisory fee at an annual rate of .75% of the first \$2.5 billion, .65% of the next \$2.5 billion and .60% in excess of \$5 billion, of the Portfolio's average daily net assets. The fee is accrued daily and paid monthly. The Adviser has agreed to waive its fees and bear certain expenses to the extent necessary to limit total operating expenses on an annual basis to 1.20% and 1.45% of the daily average net assets for Class A and Class B shares, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, there were no expenses waived by the Adviser.

Pursuant to the investment advisory agreement, the Portfolio paid \$45,840 to the Adviser representing the cost of certain legal and accounting services provided to the Portfolio by the Adviser for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Brokerage commissions paid on investment transactions for the six months ended June 30, 2009, amounted to \$1,205,198, none of which was paid to Sanford C. Bernstein & Co. LLC and Sanford C. Bernstein Limited, affiliates of the Adviser.

The Portfolio compensates AllianceBernstein Investor Services, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Adviser, under a Transfer Agency Agreement for providing personnel and facilities to perform transfer agency services for the Portfolio. Such compensation amounted to \$576 for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

NOTE C: Distribution Plan

The Portfolio has adopted a Distribution Plan (the "Plan") for Class B shares pursuant to Rule 12b-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940. Under the Plan, the Portfolio pays distribution and servicing fees to AllianceBernstein Investments, Inc. (the "Distributor"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Adviser, at an annual rate of up to .50% of the Portfolio's average daily net assets attributable to Class B shares. The fees are accrued daily and paid monthly. The Board of Directors currently limits payments under the Plan to .25% of the Portfolio's average daily net assets attributable to Class B shares. The Plan provides that the Distributor will use such payments in their entirety for distribution assistance and promotional activities.

The Portfolio is not obligated under the Plan to pay any distribution and servicing fees in excess of the amounts set forth above. The purpose of the payments to the Distributor under the Plan is to compensate the Distributor for its distribution services with respect to the sale of the Portfolio's Class B shares. Since the Distributor's compensation is not directly tied to its expenses, the amount of compensation received by it under the Plan during any year may be more or less than its actual expenses. For this reason, the Plan is characterized by the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission as being of the "compensation" variety.

In the event that the Plan is terminated or not continued, no distribution and servicing fees (other than current amounts accrued but not yet paid) would be owed by the Portfolio to the Distributor.

The Plan also provides that the Adviser may use its own resources to finance the distribution of the Portfolio's shares.

NOTE D: Investment Transactions

Purchases and sales of investment securities (excluding short-term investments) for the six months ended June 30, 2009, were as follows:

	<u>Purchases</u>	<u>Sales</u>
Investment securities (excluding U.S. government securities)	\$540,915,936	\$868,407,660
U.S. government securities	-0-	-0-

The cost of investments for federal income tax purposes, was substantially the same as the cost for the financial reporting purposes. Accordingly, gross unrealized appreciation and unrealized depreciation (excluding futures and foreign currency transactions) are as follows:

Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 119,614,738
Gross unrealized depreciation	<u>(318,460,592)</u>
Net unrealized depreciation	<u>\$(198,845,854)</u>

1. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Portfolio may use derivatives to earn income and enhance returns, to hedge or adjust the risk profile of its portfolio, to replace more traditional direct investments, or to obtain exposure to otherwise inaccessible markets. The Portfolio may also use derivatives for non-hedging purposes as a means of making direct investment in foreign currencies, as described below under “Currency Transactions”.

The principal types of derivatives utilized by the Portfolio, as well as the methods in which they may be used are:

- **Forward Currency Exchange Contracts**

The Portfolio may enter into forward currency exchange contracts in order to hedge its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on its foreign portfolio holdings, or to hedge certain firm purchase and sales commitments denominated in foreign currencies and for non-hedging purposes as a means of making direct investments in foreign currencies, as described below under “Currency Transactions”.

A forward currency exchange contract is a commitment to purchase or sell a foreign currency on a future date at a negotiated forward rate. The gain or loss arising from the difference between the original contract and the closing of such contract would be included in net realized gain or loss on foreign currency transactions. Fluctuations in the value of open forward currency exchange contracts are recorded for financial reporting purposes as unrealized appreciation and/or depreciation by the Portfolio. Risks may arise from the potential inability of a counterparty to meet the terms of a contract and from unanticipated movements in the value of a foreign currency relative to the U.S. dollar. The face or contract amount, in U.S. dollars, reflects the total exposure the Portfolio has in that particular currency contract.

- **Futures Contracts**

The Portfolio may buy or sell futures contracts for the purpose of hedging its portfolio against adverse effects of anticipated movements in the market. The Portfolio bears the market risk that arises from changes in the value of these instruments and the imperfect correlation between movements in the price of the futures contracts and movements in the price of the securities hedged or used for cover. The Portfolio may also purchase or sell futures contracts for foreign currencies or options thereon for non-hedging purposes as a means of making direct investment in foreign currencies, as described below under “Currency Transactions”.

At the time the Portfolio enters into a futures contract, the Portfolio deposits and maintains as collateral an initial margin with the broker, as required by the exchange on which the transaction is effected. Pursuant to the contract, the Portfolio agrees to receive from or pay to the broker an amount of cash equal to the daily fluctuation in the value of the contract. Such receipts or payments are known as variation margin and are recorded by the Portfolio as unrealized gains or losses. Risks may arise from the potential inability of a counterparty to meet the terms of the contract. When the contract is closed, the Portfolio records a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time it was opened and the time it was closed.

- **Option Transactions**

For hedging and investment purposes, the Portfolio may purchase and write (sell) put and call options on U.S. and foreign securities and foreign currencies that are traded on U.S. and foreign securities exchanges and over-the-counter markets. The Portfolio may also use options transactions for non-hedging purposes as a means of making direct investments in foreign currencies, as described below under “Currency Transactions”.

The risk associated with purchasing an option is that the Portfolio pays a premium whether or not the option is exercised. Additionally, the Portfolio bears the risk of loss of the premium and change in market value should the counterparty not perform under the contract. Put and call options purchased are accounted for in the same manner as portfolio securities. The cost of securities acquired through the exercise of call options is increased by premiums paid. The proceeds from securities sold through the exercise of put options are decreased by the premiums paid.

When the Portfolio writes an option, the premium received by the Portfolio is recorded as a liability and is subsequently adjusted to the current market value of the option written. Premiums received from written options which expire unexercised are recorded by the Portfolio on the expiration date as realized gains from options written. The difference between the premium received and the amount paid on effecting a closing purchase transaction, including brokerage commissions, is also treated as a realized gain, or if the premium received is less than the

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

amount paid for the closing purchase transaction, as a realized loss. If a call option is exercised, the premium received is added to the proceeds from the sale of the underlying security or currency in determining whether the Portfolio has realized a gain or loss. If a put option is exercised, the premium received reduces the cost basis of the security or currency purchased by the Portfolio. In writing an option, the Portfolio bears the market risk of an unfavorable change in the price of the security or currency underlying the written option. Exercise of an option written by the Portfolio could result in the Portfolio's selling or buying a security or currency at a price different from the current market value. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Portfolio had no transactions in written options.

At June 30, 2009, the Portfolio had entered into the following derivatives (not designated as hedging instruments under FAS No. 133 "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities"):

Derivatives Not Accounted for as Hedging Instruments under Statement 133	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	Statement of Assets and Liabilities Location	Fair Value	Statement of Assets and Liabilities Location	Fair Value
Foreign exchange contracts	Unrealized appreciation of forward currency exchange contracts	\$24,639,102	Unrealized depreciation of forward currency exchange contracts	\$37,029,046
Equity contracts			Payable for variation margin on futures contracts	1,408,806*
Total		<u>\$24,639,102</u>		<u>\$38,437,852</u>

* Includes cumulative appreciation/(depreciation) of futures contracts as reported in portfolio of investments. Only variation margin receivable/payable at period end is reported within the statement of assets and liabilities.

The effect of derivative instruments on the Statement of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009:

Derivatives Not Accounted for as Hedging Instruments under Statement 133	Location of Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives	Realized Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives	Change in Unrealized Appreciation or (Depreciation)
Foreign exchange contracts	Net realized gain (loss) on foreign currency transactions; change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities	\$(21,570,364)	\$(16,817,052)
Equity contracts	Net realized gain (loss) on futures; change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of futures	2,305,131	(1,808,191)
Total		<u>\$(19,265,233)</u>	<u>\$(18,625,243)</u>

2. Currency Transactions

The Portfolio may invest in non-U.S. Dollar securities on a currency hedged or unhedged basis. The Portfolio may seek investment opportunities by taking long or short positions in currencies through the use of currency-related derivatives, including forward currency exchange contracts, futures and options on futures, swaps, and options. The Portfolio may enter into transactions for investment opportunities when it anticipates that a foreign currency will appreciate or depreciate in value but securities denominated in that currency are not held by the Portfolio and do not present attractive investment opportunities. Such transactions may also be used when the Adviser believes that it may be more efficient than a direct investment in a foreign currency-denominated security. The Portfolio may also conduct currency exchange contracts on a spot basis (i.e., for cash at the spot rate prevailing in the currency exchange market for buying or selling currencies).

NOTE E: Capital Stock

Each class consists of 500,000,000 authorized shares. Transactions in capital shares for each class were as follows:

	SHARES		AMOUNT	
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Class A				
Shares sold	2,694,409	8,440,024	\$ 27,458,830	\$ 148,140,128
Shares issued in reinvestment of dividends and distributions	–0–	635,809	–0–	14,439,228
Shares redeemed	<u>(3,938,328)</u>	<u>(3,773,976)</u>	<u>(41,194,857)</u>	<u>(60,943,186)</u>
Net increase (decrease)	<u>(1,243,919)</u>	<u>5,301,857</u>	<u>\$(13,736,027)</u>	<u>\$ 101,636,170</u>
Class B				
Shares sold	20,929,274	49,688,884	\$204,461,133	\$ 761,634,791
Shares issued in reinvestment of dividends and distributions	–0–	7,421,380	–0–	166,906,841
Shares redeemed	<u>(9,196,800)</u>	<u>(18,551,975)</u>	<u>(96,789,969)</u>	<u>(277,955,650)</u>
Net increase	<u>11,732,474</u>	<u>38,558,289</u>	<u>\$107,671,164</u>	<u>\$ 650,585,982</u>

NOTE F: Risks Involved in Investing in the Portfolio

Foreign Securities Risk—Investing in securities of foreign companies or foreign governments involves special risks which include changes in foreign currency exchange rates and the possibility of future political and economic developments which could adversely affect the value of such securities. Moreover, securities of many foreign companies or foreign governments and their markets may be less liquid and their prices more volatile than those of comparable U.S. companies or of the U.S. government.

Currency Risk—This is the risk that changes in foreign currency exchange rates may negatively affect the value of the Portfolio’s investments or reduce the returns of the Portfolio. For example, the value of the Portfolio’s investments in foreign currency-denominated securities or currencies may decrease if the U.S. Dollar is strong (*i.e.*, gaining value relative to other currencies) and other currencies are weak (*i.e.*, losing value relative to the U.S. Dollar). Currency markets are generally not as regulated as securities markets. Independent of the Portfolio’s investments in securities denominated in foreign currencies, the Portfolio’s positions in various foreign currencies may cause the Portfolio to experience investment losses due to changes in exchange rates and interest rates.

Derivatives Risk—The Portfolio may invest in derivatives such as forwards, options, futures and swaps. These investments may be illiquid, difficult to price, and leveraged so that small changes may produce disproportionate losses for the Portfolio, and subject to counterparty risk to a greater degree than more traditional investments.

Indemnification Risk—In the ordinary course of business, the Portfolio enters into contracts that contain a variety of indemnifications. The Portfolio’s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown. However, the Portfolio has not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these indemnification provisions and expects the risk of loss thereunder to be remote.

NOTE G: Joint Credit Facility

A number of open-end mutual funds managed by the Adviser, including the Portfolio, participate in a \$250 million revolving credit facility (the “Facility”) intended to provide short-term financing, if necessary, subject to certain restrictions in connection with abnormal redemption activity. Commitment fees related to the Facility are paid by the participating funds and are included in the miscellaneous expenses in the statement of operations. The Portfolio did not utilize the Facility during the six months ended June 30, 2009. Effective July 16, 2009, the Facility will be reduced to \$140 million.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

NOTE H: Distributions to Shareholders

The tax character of distributions to be paid for the year ending December 31, 2009 will be determined at the end of the current fiscal year. The tax character of distributions paid during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were as follows:

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Distributions paid from:		
Ordinary income	\$ 53,131,866	\$ 66,798,491
Net long-term capital gains	<u>128,214,203</u>	<u>57,818,024</u>
Total distributions paid	<u>\$181,346,069</u>	<u>\$124,616,515</u>

As of December 31, 2008, the components of accumulated earnings/(deficit) on a tax basis were as follows:

Accumulated capital and other losses	\$ (232,839,154)(a)
Unrealized appreciation/(depreciation)	<u>(1,179,002,924)(b)</u>
Total accumulated earnings/(deficit)	<u>\$(1,411,842,078)</u>

(a) On December 31, 2008, the Portfolio had a net capital loss carryforward for federal income tax purposes of \$41,335,504 of which \$41,335,504 expires in the year 2016. To the extent future capital gains are offset by capital loss carryforwards, such gains will not be distributed. Net capital loss incurred after October 31, and within the taxable year are deemed to arise on the first business day of the Portfolio's next taxable year. For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Portfolio defers to January 1, 2009 post-October capital losses of \$191,503,650.

(b) The differences between book-basis and tax-basis unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) are attributable primarily to the tax deferral of losses on wash sales and the realization for tax purposes of gain/losses on certain derivative instruments.

NOTE I: Legal Proceedings

On October 2, 2003, a purported class action complaint entitled *Hindo, et al. v. AllianceBernstein Growth & Income Fund, et al.* ("Hindo Complaint") was filed against the Adviser, Alliance Capital Management Holding L.P. ("Alliance Holding"), Alliance Capital Management Corporation, AXA Financial, Inc., the AllianceBernstein Funds, certain officers of the Adviser ("AllianceBernstein defendants"), and certain other unaffiliated defendants, as well as unnamed Doe defendants. The Hindo Complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York by alleged shareholders of two of the AllianceBernstein Funds. The Hindo Complaint alleges that certain of the AllianceBernstein defendants failed to disclose that they improperly allowed certain hedge funds and other unidentified parties to engage in "late trading" and "market timing" of AllianceBernstein Fund securities, violating Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act, Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Sections 206 and 215 of the Advisers Act. Plaintiffs seek an unspecified amount of compensatory damages and rescission of their contracts with the Adviser, including recovery of all fees paid to the Adviser pursuant to such contracts.

Following October 2, 2003, 43 additional lawsuits making factual allegations generally similar to those in the *Hindo* Complaint were filed in various federal and state courts against the Adviser and certain other defendants. On September 29, 2004, plaintiffs filed consolidated amended complaints with respect to four claim types: mutual fund shareholder claims; mutual fund derivative claims; derivative claims brought on behalf of Alliance Holding; and claims brought under ERISA by participants in the Profit Sharing Plan for Employees of the Adviser. All four complaints include substantially identical factual allegations, which appear to be based in large part on the Order of the SEC dated December 18, 2003 as amended and restated January 15, 2004 ("SEC Order") and the New York State Attorney General Assurance of Discontinuance dated September 1, 2004 ("NYAG Order").

On April 21, 2006, the Adviser and attorneys for the plaintiffs in the mutual fund shareholder claims, mutual fund derivative claims, and ERISA claims entered into a confidential memorandum of understanding containing their agreement to settle these claims. The agreement will be documented by a stipulation of settlement and will be submitted for court approval at a later date. The settlement amount (\$30 million), which the Adviser previously accrued and disclosed, has been disbursed. The derivative claims brought on behalf of Alliance Holding, in which plaintiffs seek an unspecified amount of damages, remain pending.

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

It is possible that these matters and/or other developments resulting from these matters could result in increased redemptions of the AllianceBernstein Mutual Funds' shares or other adverse consequences to the AllianceBernstein Mutual Funds. This may require the AllianceBernstein Mutual Funds to sell investments held by those funds to provide for sufficient liquidity and could also have an adverse effect on the investment performance of the AllianceBernstein Mutual Funds. However, the Adviser believes that these matters are not likely to have a material adverse effect on its ability to perform advisory services relating to the AllianceBernstein Mutual Funds.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

Selected Data For A Share Of Capital Stock Outstanding Throughout Each Period

	CLASS A					
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	Year Ended December 31,				
		2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$11.05	\$25.14	\$24.96	\$19.07	\$16.70	\$13.45
<u>Income From Investment Operations</u>						
Net investment income (a)22	.54	.43	.38	.26(b)	.20(b)
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investment and foreign currency transactions64	(13.15)	1.07	6.21	2.49	3.16
Net increase (decrease) in net asset value from operations86	(12.61)	1.50	6.59	2.75	3.36
<u>Less: Dividends and Distributions</u>						
Dividends from net investment income	-0-	(.23)	(.31)	(.30)	(.10)	(.08)
Distributions from net realized gain on investment and foreign currency transactions	-0-	(1.25)	(1.01)	(.40)	(.28)	(.03)
Total dividends and distributions	-0-	(1.48)	(1.32)	(.70)	(.38)	(.11)
Net asset value, end of period	<u>\$11.91</u>	<u>\$11.05</u>	<u>\$25.14</u>	<u>\$24.96</u>	<u>\$19.07</u>	<u>\$16.70</u>
<u>Total Return</u>						
Total investment return based on net asset value (c)	7.78%	(53.18)%	5.84%	35.36%	16.92%	25.12%
<u>Ratios/Supplemental Data</u>						
Net assets, end of period (000's omitted)	\$152,367	\$155,183	\$219,691	\$129,837	\$56,692	\$47,095
Ratio to average net assets of:						
Expenses, net of waivers and reimbursements83%(d)	.81%	.81%	.85%(e)	.86%	.95%
Expenses, before waivers and reimbursements83%(d)	.81%	.81%	.85%(e)	.87%	1.13%
Net investment income	4.28%(d)	2.98%	1.68%	1.75%(e)	1.54%(b)	1.42%(b)
Portfolio turnover rate	31%	36%	23%	25%	18%	23%

See footnote summary on page 21.

Selected Data For A Share Of Capital Stock Outstanding Throughout Each Period

	CLASS B					
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	Year Ended December 31,				
		2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$10.93	\$24.88	\$24.74	\$18.93	\$16.61	\$13.39
Income From Investment Operations						
Net investment income (a)21	.50	.36	.33	.19(b)	.15(b)
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investment and foreign currency transactions62	(13.02)	1.06	6.16	2.50	3.16
Net increase (decrease) in net asset value from operations83	(12.52)	1.42	6.49	2.69	3.31
Less: Dividends and Distributions						
Dividends from net investment income	-0-	(.18)	(.27)	(.28)	(.09)	(.06)
Distributions from net realized gain on investment and foreign currency transactions	-0-	(1.25)	(1.01)	(.40)	(.28)	(.03)
Total dividends and distributions	-0-	(1.43)	(1.28)	(.68)	(.37)	(.09)
Net asset value, end of period	\$11.76	\$10.93	\$24.88	\$24.74	\$18.93	\$16.61
Total Return						
Total investment return based on net asset value (c)	7.59%	(53.28)%	5.58%	35.05%	16.58%	24.86%
Ratios/Supplemental Data						
Net assets, end of period (000's omitted)	\$1,923,654	\$1,659,407	\$2,818,307	\$1,888,710	\$840,572	\$284,443
Ratio to average net assets of:						
Expenses, net of waivers and reimbursements	1.08%(d)	1.06%	1.06%	1.10%(e)	1.11%	1.20%
Expenses, before waivers and reimbursements	1.08%(d)	1.06%	1.06%	1.10%(e)	1.12%	1.38%
Net investment income	4.14%(d)	2.77%	1.41%	1.53%(e)	1.08%(b)	1.07%(b)
Portfolio turnover rate	31%	36%	23%	25%	18%	23%

(a) Based on average shares outstanding.

(b) Net of expenses reimbursed or waived by the Adviser.

(c) Total investment return is calculated assuming an initial investment made at the net asset value at the beginning of the period, reinvestment of all dividends and distributions at net asset value during the period, and redemption on the last day of the period. Total return does not reflect (i) insurance company's separate account related expense charges and (ii) the deduction of taxes that a shareholder would pay on Portfolio distributions or the redemption of Portfolio shares. Total investment return calculated for a period of less than one year is not annualized.

(d) Annualized.

(e) The ratio includes expenses attributable to costs of proxy solicitation.

See notes to financial statements.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO CONTINUANCE DISCLOSURE

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

INFORMATION REGARDING THE REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF THE PORTFOLIO'S ADVISORY AGREEMENT

The disinterested directors (the "directors") of AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") unanimously approved the continuance of the Fund's Advisory Agreement with the Adviser in respect of AllianceBernstein International Value Portfolio (the "Portfolio") at a meeting held on May 5-7, 2009.

Prior to approval of the continuance of the Advisory Agreement, the directors had requested from the Adviser, and received and evaluated, extensive materials. They reviewed the proposed continuance of the Advisory Agreement with the Adviser and with experienced counsel who are independent of the Adviser, who advised on the relevant legal standards. The directors also reviewed an independent evaluation prepared by the Fund's Senior Officer (who is also the Fund's Independent Compliance Officer) of the reasonableness of the advisory fee in the Advisory Agreement wherein the Senior Officer concluded that the contractual fee for the Portfolio was reasonable. The directors also discussed the proposed continuance in private sessions with counsel and the Fund's Senior Officer.

The directors considered their knowledge of the nature and quality of the services provided by the Adviser to the Portfolio gained from their experience as directors or trustees of most of the registered investment companies advised by the Adviser, their overall confidence in the Adviser's integrity and competence they have gained from that experience, the Adviser's initiative in identifying and raising potential issues with the directors and its responsiveness, frankness and attention to concerns raised by the directors in the past, including the Adviser's willingness to consider and implement organizational and operational changes designed to improve investment results and the services provided to the AllianceBernstein Funds. The directors noted that they have four regular meetings each year, at each of which they receive presentations from the Adviser on the investment results of the Portfolio and review extensive materials and information presented by the Adviser.

The directors also considered all other factors they believed relevant, including the specific matters discussed below. In their deliberations, the directors did not identify any particular information that was all-important or controlling, and different directors may have attributed different weights to the various factors. The directors determined that the selection of the Adviser to manage the Portfolio and the overall arrangements between the Portfolio and the Adviser, as provided in the Advisory Agreement, including the advisory fee, were fair and reasonable in light of the services performed, expenses incurred and such other matters as the directors considered relevant in the exercise of their business judgment. The material factors and conclusions that formed the basis for the directors' determinations included the following:

Nature, Extent and Quality of Services Provided

The directors considered the scope and quality of services provided by the Adviser under the Advisory Agreement, including the quality of the investment research capabilities of the Adviser and the other resources it has dedicated to performing services for the Portfolio. They also noted the professional experience and qualifications of the Portfolio's portfolio management team and other senior personnel of the Adviser. The directors also considered that the Advisory Agreement provides that the Portfolio will reimburse the Adviser for the cost to it of providing certain clerical, accounting, administrative and other services provided at the Portfolio's request by employees of the Adviser or its affiliates. Requests for these reimbursements are approved by the directors on a quarterly basis and, to the extent requested and paid, result in a higher rate of total compensation from the Portfolio to the Adviser than the fee rate stated in the Portfolio's Advisory Agreement. The directors noted that the methodology used to determine the reimbursement amounts had been reviewed by an independent consultant retained by the Fund's Senior Officer. The quality of administrative and other services, including the Adviser's role in coordinating the activities of the Portfolio's other service providers, also were considered. The directors concluded that, overall, they were satisfied with the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Portfolio under the Advisory Agreement.

Costs of Services Provided and Profitability

The directors reviewed a schedule of the revenues, expenses and related notes indicating the profitability of the Portfolio to the Adviser for calendar years 2007 and 2008 that had been prepared with an expense allocation methodology arrived at in consultation with an independent consultant retained by the Fund's Senior Officer. The directors reviewed the assumptions and methods of allocation used by the Adviser in preparing fund-specific profitability data and noted that there are a number of potentially acceptable allocation methodologies for information of this type. The directors noted that the profitability information reflected all revenues and expenses of the Adviser's relationship with the Portfolio, including those relating to its subsidiaries which provide transfer agency, distribution and brokerage services to the Portfolio. The directors recognized that it is difficult to make comparisons of profitability between fund advisory contracts because comparative information is not generally publicly available and is affected by numerous factors. The directors focused on the profitability of the Adviser's

relationship with the Portfolio before taxes and distribution expenses. The directors concluded that they were satisfied that the Adviser's level of profitability from its relationship with the Portfolio was not unreasonable.

Fall-Out Benefits

The directors considered the benefits to the Adviser and its affiliates from their relationships with the Portfolio other than the fees and expense reimbursements payable under the Advisory Agreement, including but not limited to benefits relating to soft dollar arrangements (whereby the Adviser receives brokerage and research services from many of the brokers and dealers that execute purchases and sales of securities on behalf of its clients on an agency basis), 12b-1 fees and sales charges received by the Fund's principal underwriter (which is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Adviser) in respect of the Portfolio's Class B shares, transfer agency fees paid by the Portfolio to a wholly owned subsidiary of the Adviser, and brokerage commissions paid by the Portfolio to brokers affiliated with the Adviser. The directors recognized that the Adviser's profitability would be somewhat lower without these benefits. The directors also understood that the Adviser also might derive reputational and other benefits from its association with the Portfolio.

Investment Results

In addition to the information reviewed by the directors in connection with the meeting, the directors receive detailed performance information for the Portfolio at each regular Board meeting during the year. The directors noted that the Portfolio is a clone of another fund managed by the Adviser (the "Corresponding Fund") and is managed to track the investment performance of its Corresponding Fund, although investment results may differ between the Portfolio and its Corresponding Fund due to differences in their expense ratios and other factors. At the May 2009 meeting, the directors reviewed information prepared by Lipper showing the performance of the Class A Shares of the Portfolio as compared with that of a group of similar funds selected by Lipper (the "Performance Group") and as compared with that of a broader array of funds selected by Lipper (the "Performance Universe"), and information prepared by the Adviser showing performance of the Class A Shares as compared with the Morgan Stanley Capital International Europe, Australasia and Far East Index (Net) (the "Index"), in each case for the 1-, 3- and 5-year periods ended January 31, 2009 and (in the case of comparisons with the Index) the since inception period (May 2001 inception). The directors noted that the Portfolio was 4th out of 4 of the Performance Group and in the 5th quintile of the Performance Universe for the 1- and 3-year periods and 3rd out of 3 of the Performance Group and 5th quintile of the Performance Universe for the 5-year period, and that the Portfolio outperformed the Index in the since inception period but underperformed the Index in the 1-, 3- and 5-year periods. The directors also reviewed performance information for periods ended March 31, 2009 (for which the data was not limited to Class A Shares), and noted that relative investment performance in 2009 had shown improvement. Based on their review and their discussion of the reasons for the Portfolio's performance, the directors retained confidence in the Adviser's ability to advise the Portfolio and concluded that the Portfolio's performance was acceptable. The directors determined to closely monitor the Portfolio's performance.

Advisory Fees and Other Expenses

The directors considered the advisory fee rate paid by the Portfolio to the Adviser and information prepared by Lipper concerning advisory fee rates paid by other funds in the same Lipper category as the Portfolio at a common asset level. The directors recognized that it is difficult to make comparisons of advisory fees because there are variations in the services that are included in the fees paid by other funds.

The directors also considered the fees the Adviser charges other clients with an investment style substantially similar to that of the Portfolio. For this purpose, they reviewed the relevant fee information in the Adviser's Form ADV and the evaluation from the Fund's Senior Officer disclosing the institutional fee schedule for institutional products managed by the Adviser that have an investment style substantially similar to that of the Portfolio. The directors noted that the institutional fee schedule for clients with an investment style substantially similar to that of the Portfolio had breakpoints at lower asset levels than those in the fee schedule applicable to the Portfolio and that the application of the institutional fee schedule to the level of assets of the Portfolio would result in a fee rate that would be lower than that in the Portfolio's Advisory Agreement. The directors noted that the Adviser may, in some cases, agree to fee rates with large institutional clients that are lower than those reviewed by the directors and that they had previously discussed with the Adviser its policies in respect of such arrangements. The directors also reviewed information that indicated that the Portfolio pays a higher fee rate than certain registered investment companies with an investment style similar to that of the Portfolio that are sub-advised by the Adviser. The directors noted that the advisory fee schedule for the Portfolio is the same as that for its Corresponding Fund.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO CONTINUANCE DISCLOSURE

(continued)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

The Adviser reviewed with the directors the significantly greater scope of the services it provides to the Portfolio relative to institutional clients and sub-advised funds. The Adviser also noted that because mutual funds are constantly issuing and redeeming shares, they are more difficult to manage than an institutional account, where the assets tend to be relatively stable. In light of these facts, the directors did not place significant weight on these fee comparisons.

The directors also considered the total expense ratio of the Class A shares of the Portfolio in comparison to the fees and expenses of funds within two comparison groups created by Lipper: an Expense Group and an Expense Universe. Lipper described an Expense Group as a representative sample of funds similar to the Portfolio and an Expense Universe as a broader group, consisting of all funds in the investment classification/objective with a similar load type as the Portfolio. The directors noted that because of the small number of funds in the Portfolio's Lipper category, at the request of the Adviser and the Fund's Senior Officer, Lipper had expanded the Expense Group of the Fund to include peers that had a similar (but not the same) Lipper investment objective/classification. The Expense Universe for the Portfolio had also been expanded by Lipper pursuant to Lipper's standard guidelines and not at the request of the Adviser or the Fund's Senior Officer. The Class A expense ratio of the Portfolio was based on the Portfolio's latest fiscal year. The directors view the expense ratio information as relevant to their evaluation of the Adviser's services because the Adviser is responsible for coordinating services provided to the Portfolio by others. The directors noted that it was likely that the expense ratios of some funds in the Portfolio's Lipper category were lowered by waivers or reimbursements by those funds' investment advisers, which in some cases were voluntary and perhaps temporary.

The directors noted that the Portfolio's contractual effective advisory fee rate, at approximate current size, of 75 basis points, plus the less than 1 basis point impact of the administrative expense reimbursement in the latest fiscal year, was lower than the Expense Group median. The directors noted that in light of the Portfolio's historical investment performance, they had asked the Adviser to address the continued appropriateness of the Portfolio's fee rate. In response the Adviser informed the directors that the Adviser had begun to implement changes and enhancements to address investment performance and discussed the new leadership for the Adviser effective December 2008. The Adviser further noted, among other things, that while it would take time to realize the benefits of these changes, relative investment performance in 2009 had shown improvement. The directors noted that they had discussed their concerns about the relative performance of a number of the AllianceBernstein equity funds with senior management of the Adviser. The directors noted that the Portfolio's total expense ratio, which had been capped by the Adviser (although the expense ratio was currently lower than the cap), was lower than the Expense Group and the Expense Universe medians. The directors concluded that the Portfolio's expense ratio was satisfactory.

Economies of Scale

The directors noted that the advisory fee schedule for the Portfolio contains breakpoints that reduce the fee rates on assets above specified levels and that, prior to the reduction in the Portfolio's assets as a result of the steep market declines in 2008, net assets had been in excess of the first breakpoint level. The directors also considered presentations by an independent consultant discussing economies of scale in the mutual fund industry and for the AllianceBernstein Funds, as well as a presentation by the Adviser concerning certain of its views on economies of scale. The directors believe that economies of scale may be realized (if at all) by the Adviser across a variety of products and services, and not only in respect of a single fund. The directors noted that there is no established methodology for establishing breakpoints that give effect to fund-specific services provided by a fund's adviser and to the economies of scale that an adviser may realize in its overall mutual fund business or those components of it which directly or indirectly affect a fund's operations. The directors observed that in the mutual fund industry as a whole, as well as among funds similar to the Portfolio, there is no uniformity or pattern in the fees and asset levels at which breakpoints (if any) apply. The directors also noted that the advisory agreements for many funds do not have breakpoints at all. Having taken these factors into account, the directors concluded that the Portfolio's breakpoint arrangements would result in a sharing of economies of scale in the event the Portfolio's net assets exceed a breakpoint in the future.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO

SENIOR OFFICER FEE EVALUATION

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

THE FOLLOWING IS NOT PART OF THE SHAREHOLDER REPORT OR THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SUMMARY OF SENIOR OFFICER'S EVALUATION OF INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENT¹

The following is a summary of the evaluation of the Investment Advisory Agreement between AllianceBernstein L.P. (the "Adviser") and the AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund (the "Fund"), in respect of AllianceBernstein International Value Portfolio (the "Portfolio").² The evaluation of the Investment Advisory Agreement was prepared by Philip L. Kirstein, the Senior Officer of the Fund, for the Directors of the Fund, as required by a September 2004 agreement between the Adviser and the New York State Attorney General (the "NYAG"). The Senior Officer's evaluation of the Investment Advisory Agreement is not meant to diminish the responsibility or authority of the Board of Directors of the Fund to perform its duties pursuant to Section 15 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "40 Act") and applicable state law. The purpose of the summary is to provide shareholders with a synopsis of the independent evaluation of the reasonableness of the advisory fees proposed to be paid by the Portfolio which was provided to the Directors in connection with their review of the proposed approval of the continuance of the Investment Advisory Agreement. The Senior Officer's evaluation considered the following factors:

1. Advisory fees charged to institutional and other clients of the Adviser for like services;
2. Advisory fees charged by other mutual fund companies for like services;
3. Costs to the Adviser and its affiliates of supplying services pursuant to the advisory agreement, excluding any intra-corporate profit;
4. Profit margins of the Adviser and its affiliates from supplying such services;
5. Possible economies of scale as the Portfolio grows larger; and
6. Nature and quality of the Adviser's services including the performance of the Portfolio.

PORTFOLIO ADVISORY FEES, NET ASSETS & EXPENSE CAPS

The Adviser proposed that the Portfolio pay the advisory fee set forth in the table below for receiving the services to be provided pursuant to the Investment Advisory Agreement. The fee schedule below, implemented in January 2004 in consideration of the Adviser's settlement with the NYAG in December 2003, is based on a master schedule that contemplates eight categories of funds with almost all funds in each category having the same advisory fee schedule.³

Category	Advisory Fee Based on % of Average Daily Net Assets	Net Assets 02/28/09 (\$MIL)	Portfolio
International	75 bp on 1st \$2.5 billion 65 bp on next \$2.5 billion 60 bp on the balance	\$1,538.4	International Value Portfolio

The Adviser is reimbursed as specified in the Investment Advisory Agreement for certain clerical, legal, accounting, administrative and other services provided to the Portfolio. During the Portfolio's most recently completed fiscal year, the Adviser received \$91,750 (0.004% of the Portfolio's average daily net assets) for such services.

¹ It should be noted that the information in the fee summary was completed on April 23, 2009 and presented to the Board of Directors on May 5-7, 2009.

² Future references to the Fund and the Portfolio do not include "AllianceBernstein." References in the fee summary pertaining to performance and expense ratio rankings refer to the Class A shares of the Portfolio.

³ The AllianceBernstein Mutual Funds, which the Adviser manages, were also affected by the Adviser's settlement with the NYAG.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO SENIOR OFFICER FEE EVALUATION

(continued)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

The Adviser has agreed to waive that portion of its management fees and/or reimburse the Portfolio for that portion of its total operating expenses to the degree necessary to limit the Portfolio's expense ratios to the amounts set forth below for the Portfolio's fiscal year. The waiver is terminable by the Adviser on May 1st of each year upon at least 60 days written notice. It should be noted that the Portfolio was operating below its expense caps for the most recent fiscal year; accordingly the expense limitation undertaking of the Portfolio was of no effect. In addition, set forth below are the gross expense ratios of the Portfolio for the most recently completed fiscal year:

Portfolio	Expense Cap Pursuant to Expense Limitation Undertaking	Gross Expense Ratio	Fiscal Year End
International Value Portfolio	Class A 1.20%	0.81%	December 31
	Class B 1.45%	1.06%	

I. ADVISORY FEES CHARGED TO INSTITUTIONAL AND OTHER CLIENTS

The advisory fees charged to investment companies which the Adviser manages and sponsors are normally higher than those charged to similar sized institutional accounts, including pension plans and sub-advised investment companies. The fee differential reflects, among other things, different services provided to such clients, and different liabilities assumed. Services provided by the Adviser to the Portfolio that are not provided to non-investment company clients and sub-advised investment companies include providing office space and personnel to serve as Fund Officers, who among other responsibilities make the certifications required under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and coordinating with and monitoring the Portfolio's third party service providers such as Fund counsel, auditors, custodians, transfer agents and pricing services. The accounting, administrative, legal and compliance requirements for the Portfolio are more costly than those for institutional assets due to the greater complexities and time required for investment companies, although as previously noted, a portion of these expenses are reimbursed by the Portfolio to the Adviser. Also, retail mutual funds managed by the Adviser are widely held. Servicing the Portfolio's investors is more time consuming and labor intensive compared to institutional clients since the Adviser needs to communicate with a more extensive network of financial intermediaries and shareholders. The Adviser also believes that it incurs substantial entrepreneurial risk when offering a new mutual fund since establishing a new mutual fund requires a large upfront investment, and it may take a long time for the fund to achieve profitability since the fund must be priced to scale from inception in order to be competitive and assets are acquired one account at a time. In addition, managing the cash flow of an investment company may be more difficult than managing that of a stable pool of assets, such as an institutional account with little cash movement in either direction, particularly, if a fund is in net redemption and the Adviser is frequently forced to sell securities to raise cash for redemptions. However, managing a fund with positive cash flow may be easier at times than managing a stable pool of assets. Finally, in recent years, investment advisers have been sued by institutional clients and have suffered reputational damage both by the attendant publicity and outcomes other than complete victories. Accordingly, the legal and reputational risks associated with institutional accounts are greater than previously thought, although still not equal to those related to the mutual fund industry.

Notwithstanding the Adviser's view that managing an investment company is not comparable to managing other institutional accounts because the services provided are different and legal and reputational risks are greater, it is worth considering information regarding the advisory fees charged to institutional accounts with a similar investment style as the Portfolio.⁴ In addition to the AllianceBernstein Institutional fee schedule, set forth below is what would have been the effective advisory fee of the Portfolio had the AllianceBernstein Institutional fee schedule been applicable to the Portfolio versus the Portfolio's advisory fee based on February 28, 2009 net assets:

Portfolio	Net Assets 02/28/09 (\$MIL)	AllianceBernstein ("AB") Institutional ("Inst.") Fee Schedule	Effective AB Inst. Adv. Fee (%)	Portfolio Advisory Fee (%)
International Value Portfolio	\$1,538.4	International Strategic Value Schedule 90 bp on 1st \$25m 70 bp on next \$25m 60 bp on next \$50m 50 bp on the balance <i>Minimum account size \$25m</i>	0.513	0.750

⁴ The Adviser has indicated that with respect to institutional accounts with assets greater than \$300 million, it will negotiate a fee schedule. Discounts that are negotiated vary based upon each client relationship.

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

The Adviser also manages AllianceBernstein International Value Fund, a retail mutual fund which has a substantially similar investment style as the Portfolio. Set forth below is the fee schedule of AllianceBernstein International Value Fund⁵. Also shown is what would have been the effective advisory fee of the Portfolio had the fee schedule of the AllianceBernstein International Value Fund been applicable to the Portfolio:

Portfolio	AllianceBernstein Mutual Fund ("ABMF")	Fee Schedule	Effective ABMF Adv. Fee (%)	Effective Portfolio Adv. Fee (%)
International Value Portfolio	International Value Fund	0.75% on first \$2.5 billion 0.65% on next \$2.5 billion 0.60% on the balance	0.739	0.739

The AllianceBernstein Investment Trust Management mutual funds ("ITM"), which are offered to investors in Japan, have an "all-in" fee to compensate the Adviser for investment advisory as well as fund accounting and administrative related services. The fee schedule of the ITM mutual fund that has a somewhat similar investment style as the Portfolio is as follows:

Portfolio	ITM Mutual Fund	Fee ⁶
International Value Portfolio	Bernstein Kokusai Strategic Value ⁷	0.95% on first ¥1 billion 0.85% on next ¥1.5 billion 0.75% on next ¥2.5 billion 0.60% on next ¥5 billion 0.50% thereafter

The Adviser provides sub-advisory services to certain other investment companies managed by other fund families that have an investment style similar to that of the Portfolio. The Adviser charges the fees set forth below for the following sub-advisory relationships. Also shown are what would have been the effective advisory fees of the Funds had the fee schedules of the sub-advisory relationships been applicable to those Funds based on February 28, 2009 net assets and the Funds' advisory fees:

Portfolio	Fee Schedule	Effective Sub-Adv. Fee (%)	Portfolio Advisory Fee (%)										
International Value Fund	<table border="0"> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Client #1</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">0.65% on 1st \$75 million 0.50% on next \$25 million 0.40% on next \$200 million 0.35% on next \$450 million 0.30% on the balance</td> <td rowspan="2" style="vertical-align: top;">0.348</td> <td rowspan="2" style="vertical-align: top;">0.750</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Client #2^{8,9}</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">0.60% on 1st \$1 billion 0.55% on next \$500 million 0.50% on next \$500 million 0.45% on next \$500 million 0.40% on the balance</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Client #3</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">0.345</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">0.750</td> </tr> </table>	Client #1	0.65% on 1st \$75 million 0.50% on next \$25 million 0.40% on next \$200 million 0.35% on next \$450 million 0.30% on the balance	0.348	0.750	Client #2 ^{8,9}	0.60% on 1st \$1 billion 0.55% on next \$500 million 0.50% on next \$500 million 0.45% on next \$500 million 0.40% on the balance		Client #3	0.345	0.750		
Client #1	0.65% on 1st \$75 million 0.50% on next \$25 million 0.40% on next \$200 million 0.35% on next \$450 million 0.30% on the balance	0.348	0.750										
Client #2 ^{8,9}	0.60% on 1st \$1 billion 0.55% on next \$500 million 0.50% on next \$500 million 0.45% on next \$500 million 0.40% on the balance												
	Client #3	0.345	0.750										

⁵ It should be noted that the AllianceBernstein Mutual Fund was also affected by the settlement between the Adviser and the NYAG. As a result, the Portfolio has the same breakpoints in its advisory fee schedule as the AllianceBernstein Mutual Fund.

⁶ The Japanese Yen-U.S. dollar currency exchange rate quoted at 4 p.m. on April 8, 2009 by *Reuters* was ¥99.64 per \$1. At that currency exchange rate, every ¥1 billion would be equivalent to approximately \$10.1 million.

⁷ This ITM Fund is privately placed or institutional.

⁸ Assets are aggregated with other similar managed accounts of the client for purposes of calculating the investment advisory fee.

⁹ The client is an affiliate of the Adviser.

**INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
SENIOR OFFICER FEE EVALUATION**

(continued)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

Portfolio	Fee Schedule	Effective Sub-Adv. Fee (%)	Portfolio Advisory Fee (%)
Client #4	0.55% on 1st \$150 million 0.50% on next \$150 million 0.45% on the balance	0.465	0.750
Client #5	0.50%	0.500	0.750
Client #6	0.30%	0.300	0.750
Client #7	0.22% on 1st \$1 billion 0.18% on next \$1.5 billion 0.16% thereafter +/- Performance Fee ¹⁰	0.206 ¹¹	0.750
Client #8	0.60% on 1st \$50 million 0.40% on next \$50 million 0.30% on next \$300 million 0.25% on the balance	0.276	0.750
Client #9	0.50% on 1st \$100 million 0.46% on next \$300 million 0.41% thereafter	0.426	0.750
Client #10	0.72% on 1st \$25 million 0.54% on next \$25 million 0.45% on next \$50 million 0.36% on the balance	0.372	0.750
Client #11	0.35% on 1st \$1 billion 0.30% on next \$1 billion 0.25% on the balance	0.333	0.750
Client #12	0.35% on 1st \$1 billion 0.325% on the balance	0.341	0.750

It is fair to note that the services the Adviser provides pursuant to sub-advisory agreements are generally confined to the services related to the investment process; in other words, they are not as comprehensive as the services provided to the Portfolio by the Adviser. In addition, to the extent that certain of these sub-advisory relationships are with affiliates of the Adviser, the fee schedules may not reflect arm's-length bargaining or negotiations.

II. MANAGEMENT FEES CHARGED BY OTHER MUTUAL FUND COMPANIES FOR LIKE SERVICES.

Lipper, Inc. ("Lipper"), an analytical service that is not affiliated with the Adviser, compared the fees charged to the Portfolio with fees charged to other investment companies for similar services offered by other investment advisers. Lipper's analysis included the Portfolio's ranking with respect to the proposed management fee relative to the median of the Portfolio's Lipper Expense Group ("EG")¹² at the approximate current asset level of the Portfolio.¹³

¹⁰ The performance fee is calculated by multiplying the Base Fee during the period by an adjustment factor that considers the excess or under performance of the fund versus its benchmark over a 60 month rolling period. The performance adjustment factor can range from -60% to +60 of the base fee.

¹¹ The calculation excludes the performance fee.

¹² It should be noted that Lipper does not consider average account size when constructing EGs. Funds with relatively small average account sizes tend to have higher transfer agent expense ratios than comparable sized funds that have relatively large average account sizes. Note that there are limitations on Lipper expense category data because different funds categorize expenses differently.

¹³ The contractual management fee is calculated by Lipper using the Portfolio's contractual management fee rate at a hypothetical asset level. The hypothetical asset level is based on the combined net assets of all classes of the Portfolio, rounded up to the next \$25 million. Lipper's total expense ratio information is based on the most recent annual report except as otherwise noted. A ranking of "1" would mean that the Portfolio had the lowest effective fee rate in the Lipper peer group.

Lipper describes an EG as a representative sample of comparable funds. Lipper’s standard methodology for screening funds to be included in an EG entails the consideration of several fund criteria, including fund type, investment classification/objective, load type and similar 12b-1/non-12b-1 service fees, asset (size) comparability, expense components and attributes. An EG will typically consist of seven to twenty funds.

The Portfolio’s original EG had an insufficient number of peers in the view of the Senior Officer and the Adviser. Consequently, at the request of the Senior Officer and the Adviser, Lipper expanded the Portfolio’s EG to include peers that have similar but not the same Lipper investment classification/objective.

Portfolio	Contractual Management Fee ¹⁴	Lipper Exp. Group Median	Rank
International Value Portfolio ¹⁵	0.750	0.779	6/15

However, because Lipper had expanded the EG of the Portfolio, under Lipper’s standard guidelines, the Lipper Expense Universe (“EU”) was also expanded to include the universes of those peers that had a similar but not the same Lipper investment classification/objective.¹⁶ A “normal” EU will include funds that have the same investment classification/objective as the subject Portfolio.¹⁷

It should be noted that Lipper uses expense ratio data from financial statements of the most current fiscal year in their database. This has several implications: the total expense ratio of each fund that Lipper uses in their report is based on each fund’s average net assets during its fiscal year. Since funds have different fiscal year ends, the total expense ratios of the funds may cover different twelve month periods, depending on the funds’ fiscal year ends. This is the process that Lipper utilizes but given bear market conditions during 2008, especially the last three months of 2008, the effects on the funds’ total expense ratio caused by the differences in fiscal year ends may be more pronounced in 2008 compared to other years under more normal market conditions.¹⁸

Portfolio	Expense Ratio (%) ¹⁹	Lipper Exp. Group Median (%)	Lipper Group Rank	Lipper Exp. Universe Median (%)	Lipper Universe Rank
International Value Portfolio ²⁰	0.818	0.852	5/15	0.994	9/56

Based on this analysis, the Portfolio has a more favorable ranking on a total expense ratio basis than it does on a management fee basis.

III. COSTS TO THE ADVISER AND ITS AFFILIATES OF SUPPLYING SERVICES PURSUANT TO THE ADVISORY FEE ARRANGEMENT, EXCLUDING ANY INTRA-CORPORATE PROFIT.

The Adviser utilizes two profitability reporting systems, which operate independently but are aligned with each other, to estimate the Adviser’s profitability in connection with investment advisory services provided to the Portfolio. The Senior Officer has retained a consultant to provide independent advice regarding the alignment of the two profitability systems as well as the methodologies and allocations utilized by both profitability systems. See Section IV for additional discussion.

14 The contractual management fee does not reflect any expense reimbursements made by the Portfolio to the Adviser for certain clerical, legal, accounting, administrative and other services. In addition, the contractual management fee does not reflect any advisory fee waivers or expense caps that would effectively reduce the actual management fee.

15 The Portfolio’s EG includes the Portfolio, four other variable insurance product (“VIP”) International Value funds (“IFVE”) and ten VIP International Core funds (“IFCE”).

16 It should be noted that the expansion of the Portfolio’s EU was not requested by the Senior Officer or the Adviser. They requested that only the EG be expanded.

17 Except for asset (size) comparability, Lipper uses the same criteria for selecting an EG peer when selecting an EU peer. Unlike the EG, the EU allows for the same adviser to be represented by more than just one fund.

18 To cite an example, the average net assets and total expense ratio of a fund with a fiscal year end of March 31, 2008 will not be reflective of the market declines that occurred in the second half of 2008, in contrast to a fund with a fiscal year end of December 31, 2008.

19 Most recently completed fiscal year end Class A total expense ratio.

20 The Portfolio’s EU includes the Portfolio, EG and all other VIP IFVE and IFCE funds, excluding outliers.

INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO SENIOR OFFICER FEE EVALUATION

(continued)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

IV. PROFIT MARGINS OF THE ADVISER AND ITS AFFILIATES FOR SUPPLYING SUCH SERVICES.

The Portfolio's profitability information, prepared by the Adviser for the Board of Directors, was reviewed by the Senior Officer and the consultant. The Adviser's profitability from providing investment advisory services to the Portfolio decreased during calendar year 2008, relative to 2007.

In addition to the Adviser's direct profits from managing the Portfolio, certain of the Adviser's affiliates have business relationships with the Portfolio and may earn a profit from providing other services to the Portfolio. The courts have referred to this type of business opportunity as "fall-out benefits" to the Adviser and indicated that such benefits should be factored into the evaluation of the total relationship between the Portfolio and the Adviser. Neither case law nor common business practice precludes the Adviser's affiliates from earning a reasonable profit on this type of relationship provided the affiliates' charges and services are competitive. These affiliates provide transfer agent, distribution and brokerage related services to the Portfolio and receive transfer agent fees, Rule 12b-1 payments and brokerage commissions. In addition, the Adviser benefits from soft dollar arrangements which offset expenses the Adviser would otherwise incur.

The Portfolio has adopted a distribution plan for Class B shares pursuant to Rule 12b-1 under the 40 Act. Under the distribution plan, the Portfolio pays distribution and servicing fees to its principal underwriter, AllianceBernstein Investments, Inc. ("ABI"), at an annual rate of up to 0.50% of the Portfolio's average daily net assets attributable to Class B shares. The current annual rate that the Portfolio pays to ABI for 12b-1 fees is 0.25%. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, AllianceBernstein Investments, Inc. ("ABI"), the Portfolio's distributor and an affiliate of the Adviser, received \$5,868,684 in Rule 12b-1 fees.

The Adviser may compensate ABI for payments made by ABI to brokers for registration fees and services related to printing, distribution and advertising in connection with Class B shares. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, the Adviser determined that it made payment in the amount of \$1,298,762 on behalf of the Portfolio to ABI.

Financial intermediaries, such as insurers, market and sell shares of the Portfolio and typically receive compensation from ABI, the Advisers and/or the Portfolio for selling shares of the Portfolio. These financial intermediaries receive compensation in any or all of the following forms: 12b-1 fees, defrayal of costs for educational seminars and training, additional distribution support, recordkeeping and/or administrative services. Payments related to providing contract-holder recordkeeping and/or administrative services will generally not exceed 0.35% of the average daily net assets of the Portfolio attributable to the relevant intermediary over the year.

The transfer agent of the Portfolio is AllianceBernstein Investor Services, Inc. ("ABIS"). During the most recently completed fiscal year, ABIS received a fee of \$969 from the Portfolio.²¹

The Portfolio effected brokerage transactions through the Adviser's affiliate, Sanford C. Bernstein & Co., LLC ("SCB & Co.") and/or its U.K. affiliate, Sanford C. Bernstein Limited ("SCB Ltd."), collectively "SCB," and paid commissions for such transactions during the Portfolio's most recently completed fiscal year. The Adviser represented that SCB's profitability from business conducted with the Portfolio is comparable to the profitability of SCB's dealings with other similar third party clients. In the ordinary course of business, SCB receives and pays liquidity rebates from electronic communications networks ("ECNs") derived from trading for its clients, including the Portfolio. These credits and charges are not being passed onto any SCB client. The Adviser also receives certain soft dollar benefits from brokers that execute agency trades for the Portfolio and other clients. These soft dollar benefits reduce the Adviser's cost of doing business and increase its profitability.

V. POSSIBLE ECONOMIES OF SCALE

The Adviser has indicated that economies of scale are being shared with shareholders through fee structures,²² subsidies and enhancement to services. Based on some of the professional literature that has considered economies of scale in the mutual fund industry, it is thought that to the extent economies of scale exist, they may more often exist across a fund family as opposed to a specific fund. This is because the costs incurred by the Adviser, such as investment research or technology for trading or compliance systems can be spread across a greater asset base as the fund family increases in size. It is also possible that as the level of services required to operate a successful investment company has increased over time, and advisory

²¹ The Fund (which includes the Portfolio and other series of the Fund) paid ABIS a flat fee of \$18,000 in 2008.

²² Fee structures include fee reductions, pricing at scale and breakpoints in advisory fee schedules.

firms make such investments in their business to provide services, there may be a sharing of economies of scale without a reduction in advisory fees.

An independent consultant, retained by the Senior Officer, provided the Board of Directors an update of the Deli²³ study on advisory fees and various fund characteristics. The independent consultant first reiterated the results of his previous two dimensional comparison analysis (fund size and family size) with the Board of Directors.²⁴ The independent consultant then discussed the results of the regression model that was utilized to study the effects of various factors on advisory fees. The regression model output indicated that the bulk of the variation in fees predicted were explained by various factors, but substantially by fund AUM, family AUM, index fund indicator and investment style. The independent consultant also compared the advisory fees of the AllianceBernstein Mutual Funds to similar funds managed by 19 other large asset managers, regardless of the fund size and each Adviser’s proportion of mutual fund assets to non-mutual fund assets.

VI. NATURE AND QUALITY OF THE ADVISER’S SERVICES, INCLUDING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PORTFOLIO

With assets under management of approximately \$411 billion as of March 31, 2009, the Adviser has the investment experience to manage and provide non-investment services (described in Section I) to the Portfolio.

The information prepared by Lipper shows the 1, 3 and 5 year performance rankings of the Portfolio²⁵ relative to its Lipper Performance Group (“PG”) and Lipper Performance Universe (“PU”)²⁶ for the periods ended January 31, 2009.²⁷

	Portfolio Return	PG Median (%)	PU Median (%)	PG Rank	PU Rank
1 year	- 55.15	- 43.97	- 44.83	4/4	26/26
3 year	- 18.39	- 12.73	- 12.39	4/4	25/25
5 year	- 3.31	- 1.84	- 0.97	3/3	19/20

23 The Deli study was originally published in 2002 based on 1997 data.

24 The two dimensional analysis showed patterns of lower advisory fees for funds with larger asset sizes and funds from larger family sizes compared to funds with smaller asset sizes and funds from smaller family sizes, which according to the independent consultant is indicative of a sharing of economies of scale and scope. However, in less liquid and active markets, such is not the case, as the empirical analysis showed potential for diseconomies of scale in those markets. The empirical analysis also showed diminishing economies of scale and scope as funds surpassed a certain high level of assets.

25 The performance rankings are for the Class A shares of the Portfolio. It should be noted that the performance returns of the Portfolio shown were provided by the Adviser. Lipper maintains its own database that includes the Portfolio’s performance returns. Rounding differences may cause the Adviser’s Portfolio returns to be one or two basis points different from Lipper’s own Portfolio returns. To maintain consistency, the performance returns of the Portfolio, as reported by the Adviser, are provided instead of Lipper.

26 The Portfolio’s PG and PU are not identical to the Portfolio’s EG and EU. The criteria for including in or excluding a fund in/from a PU is somewhat different from that of an EU.

27 Note that the current Lipper investment classification/objective dictates the PG and PU throughout the life of the fund even if a fund had a different investment classification/objective at a different point in time.

**INTERNATIONAL VALUE PORTFOLIO
SENIOR OFFICER FEE EVALUATION**

(continued)

AllianceBernstein Variable Products Series Fund

Set forth below are the 1, 3, 5 year and since inception performance returns of the Portfolio (in bold)²⁸ versus its benchmark.²⁹ Portfolio and benchmark volatility and reward-to-variability ratio (“Sharpe Ratio”) information is also shown.³⁰

	Periods Ending January 31, 2009 Annualized Performance						
	1 Year (%)	3 Year (%)	5 Year (%)	Since Inception (%)	Annualized		Risk Period (Year)
					Volatility (%)	Sharpe (%)	
International Value Portfolio	-55.15	-18.39	-3.31	1.93	20.39	-0.21	5
MSCI EAFE Index (Net)	-43.74	-12.25	-0.70	-0.40	16.92	-0.14	5

Inception Date: May 10, 2001

CONCLUSION:

Based on the factors discussed above the Senior Officer’s conclusion is that the proposed advisory fee for the Portfolio is reasonable and within the range of what would have been negotiated at arm’s-length in light of all the surrounding circumstances. This conclusion in respect of the Portfolio is based on an evaluation of all of these factors and no single factor was dispositive.

Dated: June 1, 2009

28 The performance returns and risk measures shown in the table are for the Class A shares of the Portfolio.

29 The Adviser provided Portfolio and benchmark performance return information for periods through January 31, 2009.

30 Portfolio and benchmark volatility and Sharpe Ratio information was obtained through Lipper LANA, a database maintained by Lipper. Volatility is a statistical measure of the tendency of a market price or yield to vary over time. A Sharpe Ratio is a risk adjusted measure of return that divides a portfolio’s return in excess of the riskless return by the portfolio’s standard deviation. A portfolio with a greater volatility would be seen as more risky than a portfolio with equivalent performance but lower volatility; for that reason, a greater return would be demanded for the more risky portfolio. A portfolio with a higher Sharpe Ratio would be viewed as better performing than a portfolio with a lower Sharpe Ratio.

(This page left intentionally blank.)

